This article was downloaded by: On: 22 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

Synthesis and thermal, fluorescence and structural studies of mixed-ligand lead(II) complexes with 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole

Akram Hosseinian^a; Ali Reza Mahjoub^b ^a Department of Engineering Science, U

^a Department of Engineering Science, University College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran ^b Department of Chemistry, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

First published on: 20 November 2010

To cite this Article Hosseinian, Akram and Mahjoub, Ali Reza(2010) 'Synthesis and thermal, fluorescence and structural studies of mixed-ligand lead(II) complexes with 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 63: 24, 4245 – 4258, First published on: 20 November 2010 (iFirst)

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958972.2010.533763 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2010.533763

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Synthesis and thermal, fluorescence and structural studies of mixed-ligand lead(II) complexes with 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole

AKRAM HOSSEINIAN*† and ALI REZA MAHJOUB‡

 [†]Department of Engineering Science, University College of Engineering, University of Tehran, P.O. Box 11365-4563, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran
 [‡]Department of Chemistry, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14115-175, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

(Received 30 May 2010; in final form 13 September 2010)

The synthesized ligand (DADMBTZ = 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole) is used for preparing these two new 1:2 adducts of [Pb(DADMBTZ)₂(NO₃)X] X = CH₃COO⁻ (1) and X = ClO₄⁻ (2) complexes. Characterization was done by IR, ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and single crystal X-ray determination. UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra were studied. Thermal stabilities of 1 and 2 were studied by thermal gravimetric (TG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA). The coordination number of Pb in 1 is seven (four by the chelating DADMBTZ, two oxygens of acetate and one oxygen of nitrate), whereas in 2 it is five (four by the chelating DADMBTZ and one oxygen of nitrate). Coordination geometries of 1 and 2 show a possible vacant site around Pb(II), occupied possibly by a stereoactive lone pair of electrons on lead(II); coordination around lead is hemidirected with a significant gap *trans* to chelating DADMBTZ, nitrate, and acetate. Both 1 and 2 make 3-D networks *via* N–H···O and N–H···N hydrogen bonds; O–H···O hydrogen bonds are found in the network for 1.

Keywords: X-ray; Fluorescence; Thermal; Coordination; Bithiazole; Hemidirected

1. Introduction

Coordination chemistry of Pb(II) has long been of interest for many reasons, including understanding of toxicity of lead compounds [1–3], though there are certainly more positive features [4, 5]. A fundamental aspect that has attracted considerable attention is whether or not the coordination geometry about Pb(II) reflects repulsive effects due to a 'stereochemistry active' lone pair [6], usually considered to be the 6s² electrons [7, 8].

Recent structural studies on Pb^{11} compounds [9, 10] have provided a detailed analysis of coordination-sphere distortions as a consequence of the presence of such lone pairs. Stereochemical activity of the lone pair in divalent Pb compounds has been discussed by Shimoni-Livny *et al.* based on a thorough review of crystal data available from the

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: hoseinian@ut.ac.ir

Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) and by means of *ab initio* calculations. These authors classified lead coordination as '*holodirected*' when the bonds to ligands are directed throughout the surface of an encompassing sphere, and as '*hemidirected*' in cases where the bonds are directed throughout only part of the coordination sphere, leaving a gap in the distribution of bonds to ligands. The latter case, present in all Pb^{II} complexes with coordination numbers 2–5, is also quite common in Pb^{II} complexes with coordination numbers 6, 7, and 8, but has not been found in those with higher coordination numbers, where holodirected geometry is the rule. The lone-pair activity can also depend on: (1) hard or soft ligands, (2) attractive or repulsive interactions among ligands, (3) the *p* character of lone pairs, and (4) the number of electrons (charge) transferred from the ligands to the metal [10, 11].

Mixed ligand complexes whose difference is only in one part of the coordination sphere are interesting. The importance of this type of lead(II) complex is due to the influence of different ligands on the structure and lone pair activity of the complexes. Reports of the crystal structures of mixed ligand 1:1 adducts [Pb(phen)(O₂CCH₃)X] $X = NCS^-$, NO₃⁻, ClO₄⁻ [12] and [Pb(bpy)(O₂CCH₃)X] $X = I^-$, NO₃⁻, ClO₄⁻ and 1:2 adducts of [Pb(phen)₂(O₂CCH₃)X] $X = NCS^-$, NO₃⁻, ClO₄⁻ and 1:2 adducts of bridging acetate and its influence on the coordination stereochemistry of lead(II) and also on their structures. The coordination chemistry of lead(II) with N- and O-donors has been frequently discussed in regard to the coordination and stereoactivity of the valence shell lone electron pairs [13–17].

Aromatic heterocyclic polymers containing bithiazole rings are good ligands because two nitrogens in the bithiazole rings are able to chelate metal ions to form stable fivemembered rings. In addition, transition metal complexes of bithiazole ligands have found increasing applications. For instance, Ni and Co complexes of 2,2'-diamino-4, 4'-bithiazole are effective inhibitors of DNA synthesis in tumor cells [18–25] and Fe(II), Fe(III), and Cu(II) complexes have found application in soft magnetic materials [26–30].

Following our previous study on bithiazole derivatives and their complexes, the crystal structure of 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazolium dibromide mono hydrate was reported [31–33]. In this article, we report the synthesis and characterization of two new lead(II) complexes containing nitrate [Pb(DADMBTZ)₂(NO₃)X] (X = CH₃COO⁻, ClO₄⁻).

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole

In this study, 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazolium dibromide mono hydrate was neutralized with NaOH solution $(1 \text{ mol } L^{-1})$ giving 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4, 4'-bithiazole which was then recrystallized from CHCl₃; 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole was prepared by the procedure described previously. Reaction of 2, 5-dibromo-3,4-hexadione and thiourea leads to 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazolium dibromide mono hydrate. Neutralization with NaOH solution $(1 \text{ mol } L^{-1})$ produces a bright yellow solid which was characterized as DADMBTZ (scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of complexes 1 and 2.

Analysis for C₈H₁₀N₄S₂ (226): C, 42.50 (Calcd C, 42.47); H, 4.25 (4.42); and N, 24.70 (24.77)%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν (N–H) 3305 (s), 3420 (m), ν (C–H)_{al} 2905 (m), ν (C=C) 1683 (m), ν (C=N) 1523 (vs), ν (C–S–C) 753 (m), ν (C=S) 627 (w). ¹H-NMR ([D6]DMSO, 25°C, TMS) δ : 6.5 (4H, 2NH₂), 2.2 (6H, 2CH₃). ¹³C-NMR ([D6]DMSO, 25°C, TMS) δ : 164.1, 117.6, 114.1, and 11.83. UV-Vis in DMSO (λ_{max} , nm): 229.5, 229 (ε = 25,500 mol L⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

2.2. Preparation of $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)] \cdot H_2O(1)$

In this study, **1** was prepared by reaction of a methanolic solution of $Pb(NO_3)_2$ (0.1656 g, 0.5 mmol) with a methanolic solution of 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4, 4'-bithiazole (0.226 g, 1 mmol) and excess of [NH₄][CH₃COO]. After standing for 12 days at room temperature, colorless crystals were obtained, filtered, washed with methanol and cold ether, and dried invacuum. The complex is soluble in DMSO and insoluble in water, methanol, and CHCl₃ (0.519 g, Yield: 65%).

Analysis for $C_{18}H_{25}N_9O_6PbS_4$ (798.90): C, 27.00 (Calcd 27.03), H, 3.14 (3.12), and N, 15.71 (15.77)%. IR data (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν (N–H) 3355 (s) – 3170 (s), ν (C–H)_{al} 2965 (w), ν (C=C) 1623 (m), ν (C=N) 1509 (s), ν (Skeletal vibration) 1379 (w) and 1343 (s), ν_{as} (COO⁻) 1509 (s), ν_s (COO⁻) 1379 (w), ν (NO₃⁻) 1379, 1343, and 1108, ν (C–S–C) 746 (w), ν (C=S) 655 (m), ν (Pb–N) 501 (m). ¹H-NMR ([D6]DMSO, 25°C, TMS) δ : 7.0

(4H, 2NH₂), 2.2 (6H, 2CH₃), and 1.8 (6H, 2CH₃). ¹³C-NMR ([D6]DMSO, 25°C, TMS) δ : 179.28, 166.33, 140.55, 117.47, 27.18, and 12.38. UV-Vis in DMSO (λ_{max} , nm): 232.5. 232 ($\varepsilon = 24,670 \text{ mol } L^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).

2.3. Preparation of $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(ClO_4)]$ (2)

In this study, **2** was prepared by reacting a methanolic solution of $Pb(NO_3)_2$ (0.1656 g, 0.5 mmol) with a methanolic solution of 2,2'-diamino-5,5'-dimethyl-4,4'-bithiazole (0.226 g, 1 mmol) and excess of NaClO₄. After standing 15 days at room temperature, yellow crystals were obtained, filtered, washed with methanol and cold ether, and dried in vacuum. The complex is soluble in DMSO and insoluble in water, methanol, and CHCl₃ (0.55 g, Yield: 67%).

Analysis for C₁₆H₂₀ClN₉O₇PbS₄ (821.29): C, 23.32 (Calcd C, 23.37); H, 2.45 (2.43); and N, 15.29 (15.34)%. IR data (cm⁻¹): ν (N–H) 3425 (s) – 3195 (s), ν (C–H)_{al} 2940 (m), ν (C=C) 1615 (s), ν (C=N) 1507 (s), ν (Skeletal vibration) 1420 (s) and 1339 (w), ν (Cl–O) 1153 (w), 1103 (s), and 1029 (w), ν (NO₃⁻) 1383, 1339, and 1029, ν (C–S–C) 757 (w), ν (C=S) 616 (m), ν (Pb–N) 459 (w). ¹H-NMR ([D6]DMSO, 25°C, TMS) δ ppm: 7.0 (4H, 2NH₂), 2.4 (6H, 2CH₃). ¹³C-NMR ([D6]DMSO, 25°C, TMS) δ ppm: 170.9, 117.1, 114.0, and 12.6. UV-Vis in DMSO (λ_{max} , nm): 276 (ε = 25,700 mol L⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

2.4. Instrumental procedures

Carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen analyses were performed by microanalytical methods using a Heraeus CHN–O–RAPID apparatus. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DRS 500 spectrometer. ¹H chemical shifts were determined relative to internal TMS. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu model IR-60 spectrometer from KBr pellets (4000–370 cm⁻¹). Electronic absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-Vis 2100 recording spectrophotometer. Emission and excitation spectra of complexes were determined in DMSO using a Shimadzu RS-5000 fluorescence spectrophotometer at room temperature. The measurements were performed using a PL-STA 1500 Thermal/Sciences in static air, in a ceramic crucible with α -Al₂O₃ as the reference compound, at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹; 200-mg samples were used.

2.5. Crystal structure determinations

Crystallographic data of $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)] \cdot H_2O$ (1) and $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CIO_4)]$ (2) are given in table 1. X-ray diffraction measurements were performed at 120 K using a CAD4 Enraf-Nonius diffractometer (1) and Bruker APEX2 CCD area detector diffractometer (2), equipped with graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the φ - ω scan technique. Empirical absorption corrections were applied using SADABS.

The structures were solved by direct methods and subsequent Fourier difference maps and refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogens attached to carbon and nitrogen were positioned geometrically, with U_{iso} values derived from the

Empirical formula $C_{18}H_{25}N_9O_6S_4Pb$ (1) $C_{16}H_{20}CIN_9O_7PbS_4$ (2)Formula weight798.90821.29Temperature (K)566(2)100(2)Wavelength (Å)0.710730.71073Crystal systemMonoclinicOrthorhombicSpace groupC2/cPbcaUnit cell dimensions (Å, °)215.357(3)a21.541(4)13.392(2)b15.357(3)15.922(2)c19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090 β 1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738 $F(000)$ 31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71-25.992.22-29.00Limiting indices $-21 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \ge 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$]70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
Formula weight798.90 821.29 Temperature (K) $566(2)$ $100(2)$ Wavelength (Å) 0.71073 0.71073 Crystal systemMonoclinicOrthorhombicSpace group $C2/c$ PbcaUnit cell dimensions (Å, °) $21.541(4)$ $13.392(2)$ b $15.357(3)$ $15.922(2)$ c $19.109(4)$ $25.227(4)$ α 90 90 β $118.51(3)$ 90 γ 90 90 γ 90 90 β 1.911 2.028 Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹) 6.427 6.738 $F(000)$ 3120 3184 Crystal size (mm ³) $0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.14$ $0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.22$ θ range for data collection (°) $1.71-25.99$ $2.22-29.00$ Limiting indices $-26 \leq h \leq 0;$ $-18 \leq h \leq 18;$ $-18 \leq k \leq 0;$ $-21 \leq k \leq 21;$ $-20 \leq l \leq 23$ $-20 \leq l \geq 23$ $-34 \leq l \leq 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection $5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]$ $70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]$	
Temperature (K)566(2)100(2)Wavelength (Å)0.710730.71073Crystal systemMonoclinicOrthorhombicSpace groupC2/cPbcaUnit cell dimensions (Å, °)13.392(2)a21.541(4)13.392(2)b15.357(3)15.922(2)c19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090Volume (Å ³), Z554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738F(000)31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71–25.992.22–29.00Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le 1 \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$]70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
Wavelength (Å) 0.71073 0.71073 Crystal systemMonoclinicOrthorhombicSpace groupC2/cPbcaUnit cell dimensions (Å, °) $21.541(4)$ $13.392(2)$ a $21.537(3)$ $15.922(2)$ c $19.109(4)$ $25.227(4)$ α 90 90 β $118.51(3)$ 90 γ 90 <	
Crystal systemMonoclinic C2/cOrthorhombic PbcaSpace groupC2/cPbcaUnit cell dimensions (Å, °)21.541(4)13.392(2)a15.357(3)15.922(2)c19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090Volume (ų), Z5554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738F(000)31203184Crystal size (mm³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71–25.992.22–29.00Limiting indices $-26 \leq h \leq 0$; $-21 \leq k \leq 21$; $-20 \leq l \leq 23$ $-34 \leq l \leq 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]	
Space group Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)C2/cPbcaa21.541(4)13.392(2)b15.357(3)15.922(2)c19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090Volume (Å ³), Z5554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738F(000)31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71–25.992.22–29.00Limiting indices $-26 \leq h \leq 0;$ $-18 \leq h \leq 18;$ $-20 \leq l \leq 23$ $-34 \leq l \leq 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]	
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)21.541(4)13.392(2) a 15.357(3)15.922(2) c 19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090Volume (Å ³), Z5554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738 $F(000)$ 31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71-25.992.22-29.00Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$]70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
a21.541(4)13.392(2)b15.357(3)15.922(2)c19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090Volume (Å ³), Z5554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738 $F(000)$ 31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71–25.992.22–29.00Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le 1 \le 23$ $-20 \le 1 \le 23$ $-34 \le 1 \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$]70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
b15.357(3)15.922(2)c19.109(4)25.227(4) α 9090 β 118.51(3)90 γ 9090 γ 9090Volume (Å ³), Z5554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738 $F(000)$ 31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71–25.992.22–29.00Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \ge 23$ $-20 \le l \ge 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$]70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
c 19.109(4) 25.227(4) α 90 90 β 118.51(3) 90 γ 90 90 Volume (Å ³), Z 5554.7(19), 8 5379.1(14), 8 Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³) 1.911 2.028 Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹) 6.427 6.738 $F(000)$ 3120 3184 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.19 × 0.17 × 0.14 0.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°) 1.71–25.99 2.22–29.00 Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection 5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$] 70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
Volume (Å3), Z5554.7(19), 85379.1(14), 8Calculated density (Mg m ⁻³)1.9112.028Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)6.4276.738 $F(000)$ 31203184Crystal size (mm ³)0.19 × 0.17 × 0.140.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°)1.71–25.992.22–29.00Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [$R(int) = 0.0619$]70,000 [$R(int) = 0.1017$]	
Calculated density $(Mg m^{-3})$ 1.911 2.028 Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1}) 6.427 6.738 F(000) 3120 3184 Crystal size (mm^3) 0.19 × 0.17 × 0.14 0.45 × 0.35 × 0.22 θ range for data collection (°) 1.71–25.99 2.22–29.00 Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection 5405 [R(int) = 0.0619] 70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]	
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹) 6.427 6.738 $F(000)$ 3120 3184 Crystal size (mm ³) $0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.14$ $0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.22$ θ range for data collection (°) $1.71-25.99$ $2.22-29.00$ Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection $5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]$ $70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]$	
$F(000)^{\circ}$ 3120 3184 Crystal size (mm ³) $0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.14$ $0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.22$ θ range for data collection (°) $1.71-25.99$ $2.22-29.00$ Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le I \le 23$ $-34 \le I \le 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection $5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]$ $70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]$	
Crystal size (mm ³) $0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.14$ $0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.22$ θ range for data collection (°) $1.71-25.99$ $2.22-29.00$ Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection $5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]$ $70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]$	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
Limiting indices $-26 \le h \le 0;$ $-18 \le h \le 18;$ $-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection 5405 [R(int) = 0.0619] 70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]	
$-18 \le k \le 0;$ $-21 \le k \le 21;$ $-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]	
$-20 \le l \le 23$ $-34 \le l \le 34$ Reflections collected554660494Independence reflection5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]	
Reflections collected 5546 60494 Independence reflection $5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]$ $70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]$	
Independence reflection $5405 [R(int) = 0.0619]$ $70,000 [R(int) = 0.1017]$	
Completeness to θ (%) 99.0 97.8	
Absorption correction Pci-scan Semi-empirical from equiv	lents
Max. and min. transmission 0.403 and 0.308 0.3187 and 0.1514	
Refinement method Full-matrix Full-matrix	
least-squares on F^2 least-squares on F^2	
Data/restraints/parameters 5405/0/347 7000/52/424	
Goodness-of-fit on F^2 1.063 1.075	
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.0350$, $R_1 = 0.0534$,	
$wR_2 = 0.0814$ $wR_2 = 0.0881$	
$R_1 = 0.0678, \qquad \qquad R_1 = 0.1163,$	
$wR_2 = 0.0896$ $wR_2 = 0.1097$	
Largest difference peak and hole (e A^{-3}) 1.151 and -1.160 1.556 and -0.858	

Table 1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement for $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)] \cdot H_2O$ (1) and $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CIO_4)]$ (2).

corresponding carbon and nitrogen. The structures of the title compounds were refined with SHELXL-97 [34–36].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis

Reaction of $Pb(NO_3)_2$ with DADMBTZ in 1:2 ratio in the presence of an excess of ammonium acetate or sodium perchlorate leads to 1 and 2, respectively (scheme 1).

The IR spectrum of **1** shows bands at 1379 and 1343 cm^{-1} for skeletal vibrations of bithiazole ring suggesting that coordination occurred through the ring nitrogen of bithiazole. Complex **2** similarly shows bands at 1339 and 1420 cm⁻¹. Comparison of skeletal vibrations between complexes and free ligand provides evidence of coordination through the bithiazole ring nitrogens.

Compound	λ_{absnm}^{-1}	$\lambda_{emnm}^{-1}~(298\mathrm{K})$
$[(C_4H_6N_2S)_2] \\ [Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)] (1) \\ [Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(ClO_4)] (2) \\ [Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_4)(ClO_4)] (2) \\ [Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_4)(ClO_4)] (2) \\ [Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_4)(ClO_4)(ClO_4)] (2) \\ [Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_4)(ClO_4)($	229.5 232.5 276	360 363.2 363.2

Table 2. Absorption (λ_{abs}), emission (λ_{em}) band maxima^a of 1–4.

^aSolvent: DMSO.

The carboxylato is a multifunction ligand with a number of binding geometries: monodentate and bidentate either by chelating, or by forming a bridge. Many complexes containing carboxylates play a key role in biochemical systems containing mono- or polymetallic sites [37]. The nature of carboxylate coordination can be determined by IR spectroscopy [38]. The Δv value ($v_{as}-v_{sym}$) in **1** is 130 cm⁻¹, indicating that the carboxylate coordinates bidentate [39–41]. This is confirmed by the crystal structure.

Nitrate could be mono and bidentate chelated and also could be bridged. One oxygen of this anion is linked to two different hydrogens. In IR spectra of all nitrate complexes, the region associated with the NO stretches shows many bands, the most intense at 1379, 1343, and 1108 cm^{-1} in **1** and 1383, 1339, and 1029, which clearly identify these species as containing coordinate nitrate [42, 43]. Complexity of these bands suggests the presence of mono and bidentate nitrate, confirmed by X-ray diffraction studies of **1** and **2**. The strong absorption bands at 1153, 1103, and 1029 cm⁻¹ are assigned to $\nu(\text{ClO}_4^-)$ in **2**. The IR spectra of **1** and **2** are in agreement with the X-ray structural data.

Comparison of ¹H-NMR spectra in free ligand with the complexes shows a shift for the amine from 6.5 for the ligand to 7.0 for 1 and 2.

¹³C-NMR spectrum shows two singlets with chemical shifts at 27.18 and 179.28 ppm for methyl and carbonyl groups of acetate in **1**.

Comparison of 13 C-NMR spectra of 1, 2 and the free ligand shows carbon in the thiazole rings, the donor nitrogen, sulfur, and amine group shifts downfield from 164.1 ppm in free ligand to 166.33 ppm in 1 and 170.9 ppm in 2.

UV-Vis spectra in DMSO display intense absorption bands at 229 for free ligand, 232 and 276 nm for 1 and 2, respectively (table 2), ascribed to the bithiazole π - π * and n- π * transitions [44, 45] (figure 1).

3.2. Structural descriptions

Complex 1 contains $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2]^{2+}$ (figure 2), two NO₃⁻ and CH₃COO⁻. The Pb has an unsymmetrical seven-coordinate geometry, surrounded by four nitrogens of two DADMBTZ and three oxygens of nitrate and acetate in a N4O3 coordination sphere. Two ligands in 1 are bidentate in five-membered chelate rings, with internal angles 63.88(2)° and 66.15(2)° for N(1)–Pb(1)–N(3), N(5)–Pb(1)–N(7) (table 3). The Pb–N bond lengths are in the range 2.447(5)–2.767(5) Å and the Pb–O bond lengths are in the range 2.596(5)–2.919(5) Å.

Arrangement of DADMBTZ, acetate, and nitrate suggests a gap or hole in the coordination geometry around lead (the N(5)–Pb–N(3) angle is 151.41°), occupied probably by a stereoactive lone pair of electrons on lead(II). The observed shortening of



Figure 1. Electronic absorption of ligand DADMBTZ (a), Electronic absorption of complex 1(b), Electronic absorption of complex 2 (c); Absorption: $c = 1.36 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$, DMSO, d = 1 cm for ligand and complexes 1 and 2. The fluorescence spectra of ligand (d) and both complexes (e) in DMSO solution. Room temperature, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 250$.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of 1 showing the atom-labeling scheme and 50% probability level displacement ellipsoids.

the Pb–N bonds opposite to the putative lone pair 2.447 Å compared with 2.767 Å for the adjacent bond supports the presence of this feature. Hence, the geometry of the nearest coordination environment of every lead atom is likely to be caused by the geometrical constraints of DADMBTZ, acetate, and nitrate and by the influence of a stereochemically active lone pair. There is no additional interaction between oxygens of acetate and neighboring leads in 2:1 adducts of $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)] \cdot H_2O$, and 1 is a monomer.

There are eight types of N-H···O, O-H···O, and N-H···N intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the 3-D network between $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2]^{2+}$, two different anions, and an uncoordinated water. Unit cell packing of 1 is given in figure 3.

Pb(1)–N(1)	2.604(5)	N(3)–C(8)	1.313(7)
Pb(1)–N(3)	2.767(5)	N(6)-C(9)	1.349(9)
Pb(1)–N(5)	2.649(5)	N(3)-C(5)	1.408(7)
Pb(1) - N(7)	2.447(5)	N(5)-C(9)	1.305(7)
Pb(1)-O(1)	2.648(6)	N(4) - C(8)	1.341(8)
Pb(1)-O(2)	2.596(5)	N(8)–C(16)	1.347(7)
Pb(1)-O(3A)	2.919(5)	N(5)–C(12)	1.408(8)
C(2) - C(4)	1.362(8)	N(7) - C(16)	1.307(7)
N(2)-C(1)	1.354(7)	N(7)-C(13)	1.414(8)
N(1)-C(1)	1.305(7)	N(1)-C(4)	1.386(7)
N(1A)-O(1A)	1.237(7)	N(1A)-O(2A)	1.216(8)
N(1A)-O(3A)	1.236(7)	O(1A)-N(1A)-O(2A)	119.2(7)
O(3A)-N(1A)-O(2A)	121.9(7)	O(1A)-N(1A)-O(3A)	118.8(6)
N(1)-Pb(1)-N(5)	91.81(2)	N(7)-Pb(1)-O(2)	78.54(2)
N(1)-Pb(1)-N(7)	75.82(2)	N(1)-Pb(1)-O(2)	129.01(2)
N(7)–Pb(1)–N(5)	66.16(2)	N(7)-Pb(1)-O(1)	84.83(2)
N(7)-Pb(1)-N(3)	92.09(2)	N(1)-Pb(1)-O(1)	160.09(2)
N(1)-Pb(1)-N(3)	63.89(2)	O(2)-Pb(1)-O(1)	48.95(2)
N(1)-Pb(1)-N(5)	91.81(2)	N(5)-Pb(1)-O(1)	75.93(2)
N(3)-Pb(1)-N(5)	151.42(2)	N(3)-Pb(1)-O(1)	122.34(2)

Table 3. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)]\cdot H_2O$ (1).



Figure 3. The unit cell of 1 showing intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

Complex 2 with its metal geometry (being bound to four nitrogens of two bidentate bithiazole ligands and one oxygen of nitrate) is five coordinate (figure 4). In each ligand, the two nitrogens coordinated to Pb(II) have different bond lengths (2.455(6)-2.593(6) Å). The two DADMBTZ ligands in 2 are bidentate in five-membered chelate rings, with different internal angles $67.67(2)^{\circ}$ and 68.78(2) for N(1)–Pb(1)–N(3),



Figure 4. ORTEP drawing of **2** showing the atom-labeling scheme and 50% probability level displacement ellipsoids.

N(5)-Pb(1)-N(7), respectively. The Pb-O (NO₃) bond length is 2.822(5)Å. The most relevant bond lengths and angles are given in table 4. Unit cell packing of **2** is shown in figure 5.

There are 19 types of N–H···O and N–H···N inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonds in a 3-D network between $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2]^{2+}$ and two different anions (figure 6).

The arrangement of the DADMBTZ ligands and nitrates suggests a gap or hole in the coordination geometry around lead [the N(7)–Pb–N(3) angle is 156.5°], occupied probably by a stereoactive lone pair of electrons on lead(II). The observed shortening of the Pb–N bonds opposite to the putative lone pair (2.455 Å compared with 2.593 Å for the adjacent bond) supports the presence of this feature. For the structures described here, coordination around lead is hemidirected with a significant gap *trans* to DADMBTZ. The π – π stacking interactions are not found in 1 and 2 because π – π stacking interactions are rarely observed in complexes with a ligand–metal ratio of 2:1.

Interestingly, if a limit of 2.83 Å were to be placed upon Pb(II)-donor separations regarded as involving coordinate bonding, only one oxygen of nitrate would be considered bound and perchlorate would be considered uncoordinated. If, however, the

D1 (1) N1(1)	2 455(()	$\mathbf{N}(2)$ $\mathbf{C}(2)$	1 212(0)
Pb(1)-N(1)	2.455(6)	N(3) - C(8)	1.313(9)
Pb(1)-N(3)	2.564(6)	N(6)-C(12)	1.361(9)
Pb(1)–N(5)	2.466(6)	N(3)–C(5)	1.405(9)
Pb(1)–N(7)	2.593(6)	N(5)–C(9)	1.392(8)
Pb(1)-O(1A)	2.73(3)	N(4)–C(8)	1.332(9)
C(2)-C(1)	1.350(2)	N(8)–C(16)	1.327(2)
C(1)-C(5)	1.470(9)	N(5)–C(12)	1.312(8)
C(2)-C(3)	1.508(2)	N(7)-C(16)	1.337(9)
N(2)-C(4)	1.338(9)	N(7)–C(13)	1.400(2)
N(1)-C(1)	1.400(8)	N(1)–C(4)	1.302(9)
N(1S)-O(1)	1.218(7)	N(1S)-O(2)	1.206(8)
N(1S)-O(3)	1.210(7)	O(1)-N(1S)-O(2)	120(3)
O(3)-N(1S)-O(2)	129(3)	O(1)-N(1S)-O(3)	110(3)
N(7)–Pb(1)–N(5)	68.78(2)	N(1)-Pb(1)-O(1A)	73.0(7)
N(7)–Pb(1)–N(3)	156.5(2)	N(1)-Pb(1)-N(5)	75.3(2)
N(1)-Pb(1)-N(3)	67.66(2)	N(1)-Pb(1)-N(7)	92.24(2)

Table 4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2\ (NO_3)(ClO_4)]$ (2).



Figure 5. A view of unit cell of 2 showing intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

bonding limit is extended to 3 Å, the nitrate and perchlorate attached to Pb all become (asymmetric) chelating entities, leading to seven-coordinate Pb in a very strongly 'hemidirected' array, evidently consistent with the presence of a lone pair in the apparent coordination-sphere vacancy remote from the bound atoms. Such an environment leaves space for Pb...O bond with the oxygen atoms of perchlorate. Each Pb atom in this structure forms two 'weak' Pb...O bonds (2.913 and 2.987 Å).

The presence of a lone pair on lead is apparently the reason that bridging interactions cannot occur in the two structures and the large space not occupied by ligand. If the



Figure 6. Intramolecular hydrogen bonding of 2.

stereochemically active lone pair is not present, it might create more interactions between bridging atoms of lead(II) with oxygens of counter-ions. Consequently, this phenomenon could lead to inability of the complex to adopt higher symmetry in 1 and 2.

Three N–O bond lengths and also three ONO bond angles of nitrate in 1 [N-O=1.216(8), 1.236(7), and 1.237(7) Å and ONO angle=118.8(6), 119.2 (7), and 121.9(7)°] and 2 are different <math>[N-O=1.206(8), 1.210(7), and 1.218(7) Å and ONO angle=110(3), 120(3), and 129(3)°], and consequently the O–O distances are different. This point shows that the nitrate anion is highly distorted by the bridging.

Comparison of free ligand with that in two complexes represents the effect of coordination, for example the range of C–N distances in **1** is 1.305(7)-1.414(8) Å, and in **2**, 1.302(8)-1.405(9) Å, shorter than those in free ligand (1.393(4)-1.399(4) Å); also C(4)–C(5) = 1.472(8)-1.474(8) Å in **1** and C(1)–C(5) = 1.469(2)-1.470 Å in **2** are shorter than those of free ligand (1.481(4)-1.485(4) Å).

The distances between the two bithiazole nitrogens in free ligands is almost 3.079 Å (two nitrogens are *trans* with each other), whereas in **1** these distances are about 2.788–2.845 Å and in **2**, 2.797–2.859 Å in two DADMBTZ ligands (two nitrogens are *cis*).

The torsion angle of DADMBTZ in free ligand, $\angle N(3)-C(4)-C(4')-N(3')$, is about 59.6(4)°, and in **1**, $\angle N(1)-C(4)-C(5)-N(3) = -43.5(7)$, $\angle N(5)-C(12)-C(13)-N(7) = -36.3(7)$ and in **2**, $\angle N(1)-C(1)-C(5)-N(3) = 36.2(2)$, $\angle N(5)-C(9)-C(13)-N(7) = 40.6(2)$, which shows that a deviation from planarity is formed by the two thiazole rings of bithiazoles.

The C–N bond length in the bithiazole rings in free ligand are at the range 1.393(4)-1.399(4) Å, and the title complexes 1.302(3)-1.474(3) Å, which are shorter than the single bond length of 1.48 Å and longer than the typical C=N distances of 1.28 Å, indicating partial double-bond character. This can be interpreted in terms of conjugation in the heterocycle.

On the other hand, the bond length range of N(2)-C(4) = 1.338(9) A, N(4)-C(8) = 1.332(9) Å, N(8)-C(16) = 1.326(9) Å, and N(6)-C(12) = 1.361(9) Å in 1 and N(2)-C(1) = 1.354(7) Å, N(4)-C(8) = 1.341(8), N(8)-C(16) = 1.347(7) Å, and

N(6)-C(9) = 1.349(9) Å in **2** are shorter than that of normal C–N single bond, which confirms that the nitrogen of amido is involved in the delocalization system.

3.3. Luminescence properties

Luminescence spectra of 1 and 2 in DMSO exhibit an emission at 298 K upon excitation at 250 nm (figure 1). The intense emission bands observed (table 4), 360 for free ligand and 363 nm for 1 and 2, are tentatively assigned to the bithiazole π - π * and n- π * transitions [44, 45].

3.4. Thermal studies

The stabilities of [Pb(DADMBTZ)₂(NO₃)(CH₃COO) · H₂O thermal and $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(ClO_4)]$ were investigated in static air from ambient to 700°C and studied by thermal gravimetric (TG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) (Supplementary material). Compound 1 does not melt and it is stable up to 176°C. The TG curve of 1 indicates release of one water up to 177.8°C with an endothermic effect to give water-free $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)]$, which is stable to 270°C. Decomposing of acetate and nitrate takes place at 274°C with an exothermic effect (observed 14.25%, Calcd 15.14%). The weight loss of 55% is equivalent to loss of two coordinated ligands DADMBTZ (Calcd 56.57%) with one exothermic effect at 530.17° C. The solid residue formed at 615° C is suggested to be PbO (observed 27.75%, Calcd 27.93%).

Compound **2** begins to decompose at 267°C. Decomposing of perchlorate takes place at 283.6°C with mass loss of 9.8% consistent with the calculated value 10% for elimination of one Cl and three oxygens with one exothermic effect (observed 27.75%, Calcd 27.93%). The release of nitrate takes place at 302°C with a slightly exothermic effect to give PbL₂ at 470°C (observed 6.9%, Calcd 7.54%).

The weight loss of 53.5% from 250° C to 650° C is equivalent to the loss of two coordinated DADMBTZ molecules (Calcd 55%) with one exothermic effect at 511° C. The solid residue formed at 614° C is suggested to be PbO.

4. Conclusion

Two different coordination complexes arise from reaction of bithiazole with Pb(II). The coordination geometries of the complexes show a possible vacant site around Pb(II), occupied possibly by a stereoactive lone pair of electrons making coordination around lead hemidirected. This study demonstrates lead is capable to form compounds with different coordination numbers deeply influenced by the counter-ions. We anticipate that this property of lead(II) will result in a variety of new coordination polymers and supramolecular complexes with novel patterns and interesting physical properties.

Supplementary material

Complete bond lengths and angles, coordinates, and displacement parameters have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC nos. 289427 for $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CH_3COO)] \cdot H_2O$ (1) and 629103 for $[Pb(DADMBTZ)_2(NO_3)(CIO_4)]$ (2). Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 IEZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; Email: deposit@cdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgments

The University of Tehran and Tarbiat Modares University Research Council supported this study. We thank the Institute of Organoelement Compounds of the Russian Academy of Science for determining the crystal structure by X-ray crystallography.

References

- [1] J.M. Rafcliffe. Lead in Man and Environment, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1981).
- [2] S. Rupprecht, K. Langemann, T. Lügger, J.M. McCormick, K.N. Raymond. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 243, 79 (1996).
- [3] D. Esteban, F. Avecilla, C. Platas-Igelsias, J. Mahia, A. De Blas, T. Rodriguez-Balls. *Inorg. Chem.*, 41, 4337 (2002).
- [4] S. Parola, R. Khem, D. Cornu, F. Chassagneux, S. Lecocq, Z. Kighelman, N. Setter. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 5, 316 (2002).
- [5] A.T. Yordanov, O.A. Gansow, M.W. Brechbiel, L.M. Rogers, R.D. Rogers. Polyhedron, 18, 1055 (1999).
- [6] R.J. Gillespie, R.S. Nyholm. Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc., 11, 339 (1957).
- [7] I. Bytheway, L.M. Engelhardt, J.M. Harrowfield, D.L. Kepert, H. Miyamae, J.M. Patrick, B.W. Skelton, A.A. Soudi, A.H. White. Aust. J. Chem., 49, 1099 (1996).
- [8] R.D. Hancock, In *Perspectives in Coordination Chemistry*, A. Williams, C. Floriani, A.E. Merbach (Eds.), p. 129, VCHA/VCH, Basel (1992).
- [9] H. Yin, S.-X. Liu. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 12, 187 (2009).
- [10] A.R. Aslani, A. Morsali, M. Zeller. Solid State Sci., 10, 854 (2008).
- [11] L. Shimoni-Livny, J.P. Glusker, C.W. Bock. Inorg. Chem., 37, 1853 (1998).
- [12] A.K. Hall, J.M. Engelhard, A. Morsali, A.A. Soudi, A. Yanovsky. Cryst. Eng. Commun., 2, 82 (2002).
- [13] A. Morsali, A.R. Mahjoub. Polyhedron, 23, 2427 (2004).
- [14] D. Kang, J.Y. Lee, J. Lee, S.Y. Lee, K. Choi, S.S. Lee. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 10, 1105 (2007).
- [15] J. Sanchiz, P. Esparza, D. Villagra, S. Dominguez, A. Mederos, F. Brito, L. Araujo, A. Sanchez, J.M. Arrieta. *Inorg. Chem.*, 41, 6048 (2002).
- [16] F. Marandi, A.A. Soudi, A. Morsali, R. Kemped. J. Coord. Chem., 58, 1233 (2005).
- [17] A. Morsali, A.R. Mahjoub, S. Janitabar Darzi, M.J. Soltanian. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 629, 2599 (2003).
- [18] J. Weng, L. Jiang, W. Sun, Z. Shen, S. Liang. Polymer, 42, 5491 (2001).
- [19] L. Jiang, W. Sun, J. Weng, Z. Shen. Polymer, 43, 1563 (2002).
- [20] L.M. Engelhardt, D.L. Kepert, J.M. Patrick, A.H. White. Aust. J. Chem., 42, 329 (1989).
- [21] Y. Tian, P. Yang, Q. Li, S. Liu. J. Coord. Chem., 4, 223 (1997).
- [22] W.Y. Wong, K.H. Choi, G.L. Lu. Organometallics, 21, 4475 (2002).
- [23] W.Y. Wong, S.M. Chan, K.H. Choi, K.W. Cheah, W.K. Chan. Macromol. Rapid Commun., 21, 453 (2000).
- [24] W.Y. Wong, G.J. Zhou, Z. He, K.U. Cheung, A.M. Ng, A.B. Djurisic, W.K. Chan. Macromol. Chem. Phys., 209, 1319 (2008).
- [25] L. Liu, Y.W. Lam, W.Y. Wong. J. Organomet. Chem., 691, 1092 (2006).
- [26] W. Sun, X. Gao, F. Lu. J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 64, 2309 (1997).
- [27] J. Weng, W. Sun, L. Jiang, Z. Shen. Macromol. Rapid Commun., 21, 1099 (2000).
- [28] L. Jiang, W. Sun. Polym. Adv. Technol., 16, 646 (2005).

- [29] S. Liu, W. Sun, Z. Liu, Z. Shen. Mater. Chem. Phys., 86, 307 (2004).
- [30] W. Sun, L. Jiang, J. Weng, B.J. He, Z. Shen. Mater. Chem. Phys., 78, 676 (2003).
- [31] A. Hosseinian, A.R. Mahjoub, A. Morsali. Z. Kristallorg. NCS, 220, 439 (2005).
- [32] A. Hosseinian, A.R. Mahjoub. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 632, 2505 (2006).
- [33] A. Hosseinian, A.R. Mahjoub. Acta Cryst., E65, m1456 (2009).
- [34] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXTL v. 5.10, Structure Determination Software Suite, Bruker AXS, Madison, WI (1998).
- [35] G.M. Sheldrick. SHEXTL-97. A Program for Refining Crystal Structures. Version 5.10, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI (1997).
- [36] G.M. Sheldrick. SADABS, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI (1997).
- [37] K. Nakamoto. Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, pp. 198–205, John Wiley, New York (1963).
- [38] A.V.R. Warrier, R.S. Krishnan. Spectrochim. Acta, 24A, 1243 (1971).
- [39] G.B. Deacon, R.J. Philips. Coord. Chem. Rev., 33, 227 (1980).
- [40] (a) G.B. Deacon, R.J. Phillips. Coord. Chem. Rev., 30, 227 (1980); (b) I. Dreveni, O. Berkesi, I. Janovics, J.A. Andor. Vibr. Spectrosc., 2, 205 (1991).
- [41] M.A.S. Goher, M.A.M. Abu-Youssef, F.A. Mautner. Polyhedron, 15, 453 (1996).
- [42] J.C.G. Bünzli, D. Wessner. Coord. Chem. Rev., 191, 253 (1984).
- [43] W.T. Carnall, S. Siegel, J.R. Ferraro, B. Tani, E. Gebert. Inorg. Chem., 12, 560 (1973).
- [44] R.D. Hancock, M.S. Shaikjee, S.M. Dobson, J.C.A. Boeyens. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 154, 229 (1988).
- [45] (a) Y. Liang, F. Du, B.R. Zhou, H. Zhou, G.L. Zou, C.X. Wang, S.S. Qu. Eur. J. Biochem., 269, 2851 (2002); (b) J.W. Sam, S. Takahashi, I. Lippai, J. Peisach, D.L. Rousseau. J. Biol. Chem., 273, 16090 (1998).